## SUMMARY OF THE ADULT SOCIAL CARE OUTCOMES FRAMEWORK FOR TORBAY (MARCH 2018)

ndicator	Time period	Count	Torbay value	Con	nparison group average	Englan	id average	Unit of measure	Trend	Trend guid
1A: Social care-related quality of life score	2016/17	275	19.9	02	19.5	02	19.1	Score out of 24		Higher is bet
1B: The proportion of people who use services who have control over their daily life	2016/17	281	82.7	02	80.4		77.7	%		Higher is bet
1C(1A): The proportion of people who use services who receive self-directed support	2016/17	1,394	92.4		96.6		89.4	%		Higher is be
1C(1B): The proportion of carers who receive self-directed support	2016/17	389	90.7		83.0		83.1	%		Higher is be
1C(2A): The proportion of people who use services who receive direct payments	2016/17	376	24.9	02	27.4	• 3	28.3	%		Higher is be
1C(2B): The proportion of carers who receive direct payments	2016/17	389	90.7		58.6		74.3	%		Higher is be
1D: Carer-reported quality of life	2016/17	340	7.8	02	7.9	02	7.7	Score out of 12	•	Higher is be
1E: The proportion of adults with learning disabilities in paid employment	2016/17	14	3.7	02	5.6	02	5.7	%		Higher is be
1F*: The proportion of adults in contact with secondary mental health services in paid employment	2015/16	-	3.1	0	-	0	6.7	%	$\searrow$	Higher is be
1G: The proportion of adults with learning disabilities who live in their own home or with their family	2016/17	289	77.1	02	81.2	02	76.2	%		Higher is be
1H*: The proportion of adults in contact with secondary mental health services living independently, with or without support	2015/16	-	63.2	0	-	0	58.6	%		Higher is b
1I(1): The proportion of people who use services who reported that they had as much social contact as they would like	2016/17	177	52.7	02	48.1		45.4	%		Higher is b
1I(2): The proportion of carers who reported that they had as much social contact as they would like	2016/17	127	34.4	02	36.6	02	35.5	%	•	Higher is b
1J: Adjusted Social care related quality of life- impact of Adult Social Care services	2016/17	120	0.4	0	-	0	0.4	Score out of 1	•	Higher is b
2A(1): Long-term support needs of younger adults (aged 18-64) met by admission to residential and nursing care homes, per 100,000 population	2016/17	15	20.4	02	18.5	02	12.8	Rate per 100,000		Lower is b
2A(2): Long-term support needs of older adults (aged 65 and over) met by admission to residential and nursing care homes, per 100,000 population	2016/17	172	493.7		731.7		610.7	Rate per 100,000		Lower is b
2B(1): The proportion of older people (aged 65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement/rehabilitation services	2016/17	173	76.5		83.6	<b>0</b> 3	82.5	%		Higher is t
2B(2): The proportion of older people (aged 65 and over) who received reablement/rehabilitation services after discharge from hospital	2016/17	226	4.3		2.9		2.7	%		Higher is b
2C(1): Delayed transfers of care from hospital, per 100,000	2016/17	9	7.9	02	14.7	02	14.9	Rate per 100,000		Lower is b
2C(2): Delayed transfers of care from hospital that are attributable to adult social care, per 100,000 population	2016/17	5	4.5	02	6.6	02	6.3	Rate per 100,000		Lower is b
2D: The outcome of short-term services: sequel to service	2016/17	903	86.7	02	85.5		77.8	%		Higher is b
3A: Overall satisfaction of people who use services with their care and support	2016/17	236	68.4	02	67.6	02	64.7	%		Higher is t
3B: Overall satisfaction of carers with social services	2016/17	110	37.9	02	39.9	02	39.0	%	÷	Higher is b
3C: The proportion of carers who report that they have been included or consulted in discussion about the person they care for	2016/17	183	71.7	02	72.7	02	70.6	%	•	Higher is b
3D(1): Proportion of people who use services and carers who find it easy to find information about services	2016/17	189	77.3	02	77.8	02	73.5	%		Higher is t
3D(2): The proportion of carers who find it easy to find information about support	2016/17	191	73.6	02	67.7		64.2	%	•	Higher is t
4A: The proportion of people who use services who feel safe	2016/17	238	71.0	02	72.7	02	70.1	%		Higher is b
4B: The proportion of people who use services who say that those services have made them feel safe and secure	2016/17	276	82.4		89.9		86.4	%		Higher is t

$\bigcirc$	I orbay value is statistically significantly higher or better than the CIPFA / England average	Source: NHS Digital, Measures
	Torbay value is not statistically significantly different to the CIPFA / England average	http://www.content.digital.nhs
	Torbay value is statistically lower or worse than the CIPFA / England average	Comparator group based on CII
0	No statistical significance calculated	

\* Central Government have suspended 1F and 1H scores in 2016/17 due to issues with the completeness and quality of the data. Caution should be taken when comparing 2015/16 figures to previous years due to changes in the dataset.

hs.uk/catalogue/PUB30122

CIPFA nearest neighbours



